Morphed intermolecular potential of OC:HCCH complex based on infrared quantum cascade laser spectroscopy
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Abstract

Spectroscopic studies of the OC:HCCH complex are reported using a continuous supersonic slit jet spectrometer with broadband frequency mode-hop free tunable infrared quantum cascade lasers (QCL), centered at 4.4 and 4.6 μm. This Letter includes an extended analysis of the ν3 CO stretching vibration frequency, and investigation of the ν3 + ν9 - ν9 hot band, the ν3 + ν3 and ν1 + ν9 combination bands for OC–HCCH. The ground state low frequency bend, ν9, is determined at 20.48361(20) cm⁻¹. The generated rovibrational data is incorporated with previously determined information and used to generate a four-dimensional compound-model morphed potential with radial correction, giving further insight into the molecular dynamics of this complex.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The OC:HCCH complex has been subject of previous experimental supersonic jet investigations using microwave [1–3] and also infrared [4–9] spectroscopies in the CO and CH fundamental frequency regions. The complex has also been the subject of additional theoretical work [10–13]. These investigations indicated that the ground state structure of the complex is quasi-linear with the atoms ordering as OC–HCCH, with R0 = 5.0167(3) Å [3]. Significant perturbations have been observed in the infrared spectra recorded in both the CO and CH stretching regions of the complex [4,6,8]. In contrast, in the OC–DCCH complex, perturbations in the CH region are not observed [9], due to the decoupling of CH vibrations from the intermolecular vibrational modes. Currently, no work on experimental studies of the rovibrational energy manifold associated with the ground state intermolecular vibrations of the complex have been completed. Such information would provide accurate data that form the basis for refining modeling of the intermolecular potential energy surface in the complex. In the present Letter, hot bands and combination bands associated with the ν3 CO stretching vibration frequency and the low frequency bend, ν9, are reported for the OC–HCCH complex using a cw slit jet expansion. This newly recorded rovibrational data is used with previously recorded spectroscopic information to generate a four-dimensional (4-D) compound-model morphed potential with radial correction, which can then serve as a model with which to further characterize the structure and molecular dynamics of the dimer.

2. Experimental methods

The cw slit jet infrared quantum cascade laser (QCL) spectrometer used in the current investigations is based on a similar design to that of a previously constructed lead salt continuous slit jet semiconductor laser spectrometer but with accommodations necessary for substitution of quantum cascade lasers (QCLs) as sources [14]. The quantum cascade lasers (QCLs) employed in the current investigations were fabricated by Daylight Solutions (San Diego, California) and have mode-hop free operational ranges from 2145 to 2195 cm⁻¹ (21045-MHF) and 2194 to 2300 cm⁻¹ (21043-MHF), respectively. Details of the QCL spectrometer have been given elsewhere [15], thus a short description of the instrument will be given in this Letter. The laser controllers were connected via GPIB interface to the host computer and the output frequency and power of the laser head could be set by a custom LabVIEW program with integrated vi’s from the manufacturer. Water cooling is supplied by a Thermo CUBE 200 Watt recirculating chiller (Solid State Systems, Pleasant Valley, NY). Tuning of the output frequency of the QCL head can be achieved by two methods. Coarse tuning of the output frequency determined by controlling a stepping motor that changes the QCL cavity length through a pivoted external cavity grating while sustaining a single cavity mode. Fine-tuning used for the spectroscopic measurements is accomplished by an applied voltage to a piezo-electric device in the range 0–100 to mechanically move the grating to cover an incremental 2.0 cm⁻¹ range. The voltage applied to the PZT was generated by a NI PCI-6251...
analog output and MDT694A Piezo controller. Laser output powers in the range 80–100 mW are typical once threshold emission had been achieved. Wavelength modulation of the laser source was achieved by the application of a sinusoidal waveform with a frequency of 10 kHz to 2 MHz (M2) and a modulation amplitude, MA, of ±2 V DC giving up to 0.05 cm−1 modulation amplitude in frequency. Frequency tunability and single mode—hop free operation was characterized to have a free-running linewidth of <30 MHz. The output of the laser was first split by a CaF2 wedge and following redirection by a reflection mirror, split again into three components using CaF2 beam splitters. The transmitted beam from the first CaF2 beam splitter was collected by a Wood’s horn to reduce back reflections and eliminate feedback to the QCL chip. The total power used in the current experiment is estimated to be about 0.8 mW. The detectors used in the current study were LN2 cooled InSb detectors (Graesby Infrared or Infrared Associates) and preamplifiers having a 1 MHz bandwidth. The output of these detectors was sampled by three EG&G 5302 lock-in amplifiers referenced to the modulation signal. The output of the lock-in amplifiers were digitized and stored in the computer using the LabVIEW program and DAQ interface. The absolute frequency scale of the observed spectrum was determined by a combination of passive Fabry–Perot confocal etalon (Spectra-Physics, SP5945) with a FSR of 0.00962456 cm−1 referenced to carbon monoxide (1 Torr, 30 cm path) and nitrous oxide (10 Torr, 10 cm path) standard transitions from the HITRAN database that were used for calibration of absolute frequencies to an accuracy of ±0.0005 cm−1. The 12 cm long slit jet expansion was formed from a reservoir sample maintained at a total pressure in the range 15–30 psi consisting of typically 0.5–1% acetylene, 5% carbon monoxide mixed with 94% argon carrier and spectra recorded with single pass of the radiation source through the supersonic jet expansion. The vacuum chamber housing the slit expansion was pumped to 600 mTorr by a Leybold RA2001 Roots blower and a Leybold SV630F roughing pump.

3.2. Fitting of the ab initio potentials

In order to have a global representation of the interaction potential, the calculated ab initio points, at each value of R, were fitted to the spherical expansion [20,21]:

\[
V(R_i, \theta_1, \theta_2, \phi) = \sum_{\lambda} a_\lambda A_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi)
\]

In Eq. (1), A is a collective symbol for the quantum numbers (L1,L2,L), A_\lambda are the expansion coefficients [22,23], and a_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi) have been given before [23]. The expansion coefficients were evaluated using standard least-squares procedure [23].

The radial potential is obtained by interpolating the angular potential on the grid of R points, at fixed angular coordinates, using a 1-D radial reproducing kernel of the form:

\[
V(R, \theta_1, \theta_2, \phi) = (V_{\text{min}}) \left[\exp\left(\sum_{\lambda} a_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2, \phi)q_\lambda^2(R_i, R)\right) - 1\right]
\]

In Eq. (2), V_{\text{min}} was chosen to be 600.0 cm−1 and the function q_\lambda^2 is a 1-D radial reproducing kernel [24].

3.3. Rovibrational energy calculations

The rovibrational Hamiltonian used in this Letter is the same as the one used before for two interacting linear rotors [23], where the vibrational problem is reduced to a 4-D problem. Thus, the rovibrational energy levels can be calculated using the pseudospectral approach discussed previously [23,25,26]. The convergence of the rovibrational energy calculations depends on the selection of the following parameters: R_{\text{start}} = 4.250 Å (the first point of the R radial grid), R_{\text{end}} = 8.000 Å (the last point of the R grid).
radial grid), \( N_R = 46 \) (the number of grid points in the \( R \) radial direction), \( N_q = N_{\theta_1} = 24 \) (the number of \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) points used in the grid), and \( N_R = 40 \) (the number of \( \phi \) points used in the grid). The number of radial spectral basis functions is \( N_R = 42 \). All of the summations over spectral states are truncated so that \( J_{\text{max}} = 18 \) and \( J_{\text{max}} = 18 \), and include all possible values of \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). The tolerance \( (\varepsilon_L) \) used to determine the convergence of the eigenvalues in the Lanczos procedure [27] was \( 10^{-14} \) atomic units. In the kinetic energy expression the rotational constant of the free monomers were \( 0.9915273 \ cm^{-1} \).

In the compound-model morphing method with radial correction (CMM-RC), the potential is generated as:

\[
V_{\text{CMM-RC}}(R) = C_1 [V_{\text{MP2/QZ}}(R') + C_2 [V_{\text{CCSD(T)/TZ}}(R') - V_{\text{MP2/TZ}}(R')]],
\]

where the \( C_k \) are the unitless morphing parameters. The reference or unmorphed potential, \( V_{\text{CMM-RC}}^{(0)} \), is obtained by initially choosing \( C_1 = 1.0, C_2 = 1.0, C_3 = 1.0, \) and \( C_4 = 0.0 \). The morphing parameters \( C_k \) are determined by using a regularized nonlinear least-squares optimization [30], that minimized:

\[
F(C; \gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left( \frac{Q_k^{\text{exp}} - Q_k^{\text{calc}}(C)}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 + \gamma^2 \sum_{x} (C_x - C_x^{(0)})^2 \tag{4}
\]

In Eq. (4), the \( C_x^{(0)} \) are the values of the morphing parameters for the reference or unmorphed potential and \( \gamma \) is the regularized parameter, and was chosen to be 10.0. In Eq. (3), the parameter \( C_1 \) is the scaling parameter for the interaction energy of the dimer at the MP2/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory including the CP correction for the BSSE. The second term in Eq. (3) corrects for the correlation energy at the CCSD(T) level of theory. Lastly, the radial correction is included with the parameters \( C_2 \) and \( C_3 \), and the value of \( R_1 \) was chosen to be 5.00 Å. The quality of the fit of the experimental data is characterized by the root mean square (RMS) deviation of the experimental data:

\[
G(\gamma) = \left[ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left( \frac{Q_k^{\text{exp}} - Q_k^{\text{calc}}(C)}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

### 4. Results and discussion

A short frequency segment in the range 2149.65–2150.22 cm\(^{-1}\) of the recorded spectrum for OC–HCCH is presented in Figure 2. The \( R(3) \) to \( R(8) \) transitions of the \( v_3 \) CO stretching vibration for OC–HCCH are illustrated in Figure 2, as well as the \( R_j(8) - R_{ej}(13) \) transitions of the \( v_3 + v_9 - v_9 \) hot band. A total of 76 transitions of the former were recorded from \( P(40) \) to \( R(36) \) while transitions from \( P(30) \) to \( R(17) \) were recorded for the latter. In addition, the \( v_3 + v_9 \) combination band of the low frequency bend of the complex has also been observed and a short frequency segment of that spectrum showing the \( Q(1) \) to \( Q(13) \) transitions is given in Figure 3. In this case, \( Q(1) \) to \( Q(28) \) were recorded and measured together with \( R(J) \) and \( P(J) \) transitions from \( R(2) \) to \( R(22) \) and \( P(3) \) to \( P(23) \). The measured transition frequencies for

### Table 1

Rovibrational data measured using the QCL spectrometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observable</th>
<th>GS OC–HCCH</th>
<th>( v_0 ) OC–HCCH</th>
<th>( v_3 ) OC–HCCH</th>
<th>( v_3 + v_0 ) OC–HCCH</th>
<th>( v_3 + v_0 ) OC–HCCH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v_0 ) cm(^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.0466092(25)</td>
<td>2.04836(20)</td>
<td>2.1493432(15)</td>
<td>2.216930453(16)</td>
<td>2.21977212(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B ) cm(^{-1} )</td>
<td>1.715(15) ( \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>2.801(30) ( \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>1.728(16) ( \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>2.90932(32) ( \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>1.1(1) ( \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_0 ) cm(^{-1} )</td>
<td>2.3257(71) ( \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>2.3937(62) ( \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>2.47058(51) ( \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>2.5933(24) ( \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>2.6160(24) ( \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( v_3 + v_9 - v_9 = 2148.82092(16) \) cm\(^{-1} \)
these bands were fitted and used to provide the molecular constants given in Table 1. Our measured value of $v_3$ of 2149.34326(15) cm$^{-1}$ agrees very well with previously determined values of 2149.3444(13) cm$^{-1}$ [7] and 2149.3435(2) cm$^{-1}$ [8]. The rotational intensity distributions are consistent with an effective temperature distribution of 12(2) K. The newly generated data for the low frequency bend, $v_9$, was obtained by combination frequency differences together with previous microwave data [1,2] that was then used to morph the intermolecular potential energy surface of OC:HCCH, as given in Table 2. The value of morphing parameters, $C_{\alpha}$, are also provided in Table 3. As shown in Table 2, the unmorphed potential is in good agreement with the experimental observables, as indicated by the determined value of $G = 4.1$. The final morphed potential has small but significant improvement in reproducing the experimental data, as the final value has decreased to $G = 1.8$. The relatively small change in the potential after morphing can be seen in the small change in the morphing parameter from the reference value, as shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$C_{\alpha}^{(0)}$</th>
<th>$C_{\alpha}^{(1)}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.99276</td>
<td>0.00091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0 (Constrained)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.96470</td>
<td>0.00370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.00052</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An attempt to correct for the BSSE was made by including in Eq. (3) the term $|V_{\text{CCSD(T/12)}}^{\text{CCSD(T/12)}} - V_{\text{CCSD(T/12)}}^{\text{NOC}}|$, but the correction was not significant in this case.

Two-dimensional slices of the morphed potential energy surface of OC:HCCH are shown in Figure 4. This surface is characterized by two identical linear global minima OC–HCCH, due to the HCH symmetry, having $R_e = 5.018(2)$ Å and $D_e = 360(4)$ cm$^{-1}$. The $R_e$ value can be compared to the determined value from the microwave analysis of 5.0011 Å [2] and 4.9672 Å [3]. In addition, previous theoretical work gave $R_e = 5.014$ Å and $D_e = 211$ cm$^{-1}$ [31]. Also the potential surface has two identical secondary linear minima CO–HCCH, which have not been observed experimentally, with $R_e = 4.671(4)$ Å and $D_e = 232(10)$ cm$^{-1}$. Previous theoretical work gave $R_e = 4.688$ Å and $D_e = 167$ cm$^{-1}$ [31]. The energy difference between the two minima is 128(10) cm$^{-1}$. Previous predictions gave a value of 400 cm$^{-1}$ [4], 258 cm$^{-1}$ [12], 44 cm$^{-1}$ [31], and 26 cm$^{-1}$ [11]. The predicted ground state structure of the $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH complex from the morphed potential is:

$\theta_i = \cos^{-1}(\cos^2 \theta_i)^{1/2}$ for $i = 1$ and 2, $\theta_1 = 18.8^\circ$, $\theta_2 = 163.2^\circ$, and $R_0 = 5.0167$ Å. These structural parameters compare with the values: $\theta_1 = 11.30^\circ$, $\theta_2 = 166.38^\circ$, and $R_0 = 5.0167(3)$ Å, determined from the microwave analysis [3]. Another microwave analysis gave the value of $R_e = 160^\circ$ and $R_0 = 5.018(6)$ Å [2]. Moreover, the morphed potential prediction, for $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH, is consistent with a $D_0$ value of 232(4) cm$^{-1}$, $v_9 = 53.5(4)$ cm$^{-1}$ (the hydrogen bond stretching frequency), and $v_9 = 73.7(2)$ cm$^{-1}$ (the high frequency bend of the complex). These predictions of the intermolecular
stretching fundamentals to be $\nu_s = 53.5(4) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\nu_e = 73.7(2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are consistent with substantial depopulation in the jet expansion of excited states that prevented experimental observation of their respective hot bands $\nu_s + \nu_e - \nu_h$ and $\nu_s + \nu_e - \nu_h$. Our prediction for $\nu_s$ can be compared with the value of $46.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ evaluated from the microwave analysis [2]. In addition, the $D_0$ value for $^{13}$C$^{15}$O–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH isomer is predicted to be at 146(10) cm$^{-1}$. The isomerization energy for the $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH isomer relative to the $^{12}$C$^{16}$O–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH isomer is then predicted to be 8(11) cm$^{-1}$ and as a consequence, we were unable to experimentally identify rovibrational transitions associated with this less stable isomer experimentally. Previous results suggested the possibility of coexistence of these two isomers at wide temperature intervals [32]. The predicted ground state structure for the $^{12}$C$^{16}$O–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH isomer from the morphed potential is: $\theta_1 = \cos^{-1}((\cos\theta_1)^{1/2})$ for $i = 1$ and 2, $\theta_1 = 205.4^\circ$, $\theta_2 = 160.8^\circ$, and $R_0 = 4.676\text{ Å}$. In Table 4, the previous calculated harmonic vibrational frequencies for $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH are compared with the corresponding values predicted from the morphed potential. The generated harmonic frequencies range from being either larger or too small relative to the corresponding predictions based on the current morphed potential. Our predicted $D_0$ and $D_0$ values are respectively 360(4) and 232(4) cm$^{-1}$ for the $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH complex and can be compared with previous predictions of $D_0 = 218 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $D_0 = 218 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [11]. In addition, the predicted $D_0$ and $D_0$ values of 232(10) and 146(10) cm$^{-1}$, respectively for the $^{12}$C$^{16}$O–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH complex, are in contrast to the previous prediction of $D_0 = 344 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $D_0 = 250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [11]. In addition, from the microwave analysis, the $D_0$ for $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH were estimated to be 299 cm$^{-1}$ [2] and 295.4 cm$^{-1}$ [3].

As shown in Table 2, the rotational and distortion constants of the $\nu_9$ bending frequency of $^{16}$O$^{12}$C–H$^{12}$C$^{12}$CH complex are not well reproduced. This discrepancy can be attributed to Coriolis perturbations centered at certain $J$ levels. Moreover, a preliminary analysis of the spectrum using combination differences of the $\nu_3 + \nu_9$ band indicates significant perturbations only in the upper state. From this data, the $\nu_9$ band in the upper state is determined to be at approximately 70.4289(4) cm$^{-1}$. This value can be compared to the $\nu_9$ predicted in the ground state to be 73.7(2) cm$^{-1}$ from the morphed potential. The observed shift can be expected to result from a contribution from vibrational coupling and possible perturbation with a dark state. In the $\nu_3 + \nu_9$ state there is evidence for Coriolis perturbations centered at $J = 7$ and $J = 8$. In addition, there is an extensive additional Coriolis perturbation in the $\nu_3 + \nu_9$ state centered at $J = 10$. These analyses are confirmed by no evidence for perturbation in the lower state as determined by combination frequency differences.

Even though the potential of the OC–HCCH complex has two symmetric wells associate with a rotation of the HCCH component, no significant tunneling splitting is observed. According to our calculations the tunneling splitting in the ground state is very small ($\sim 6.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), practically zero within numerical error. As shown in Figure 4, the large and wide barrier of $\sim 360(4) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ implies a very localized wave function where the tunneling probability is nearly zero. In addition, since the inversion symmetry of acetylene monomer is broken on complexation, the normal mode picture is not completely valid [9]. Therefore, tunneling splitting also may not be observed due to the dynamic nature of the complex.

5. Conclusions

A spectroscopic investigation of intermolecular hot bands and combination bands in the $\nu_3$ (CO stretching region) using a cw slit jet expansion in a quantum cascade laser spectrometer has extended analysis of the structure and dynamics of the OC–HCCH complex. From this experimental data, the ground state low frequency bend, $\nu_3$, is determined to be at 20.48361(20) cm$^{-1}$ while a generated intermolecular morphed potential can be used to predict the intermolecular stretching fundamental to be $\nu_3 = 53.5(4) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the high frequency bend of the complex to be $\nu_3 = 73.7(2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$. A precise experimental determination of the $D_0$ and $D_0$ values for OC–HCCH and CO–HCCH are not available but the current morphed potential predicts values of 360(4) and 232(4) cm$^{-1}$ for OC–HCCH, and 232(10) and 146(10) cm$^{-1}$ for CO–HCCH, respectively. The isomerization energy OC–HCCH/CO–HCCH using the available morphed potential is predicted to be 86(10) cm$^{-1}$ and explains our failure to observe this isomer with current instrumental capabilities. Our present analyses of $\nu_3 + \nu_9 - \nu_9$ low frequency bending vibrational hot band in the OC stretching vibration of the complex indicates extensive Coriolis interaction in the excited states of this vibration that will have to be considered before a more accurate complete potential can be generated for this complex.
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